arXiv:1704.00143v1 [math.GT] 1 Apr 2017

Eliminating higher-multiplicity intersections in the metastable dimension range *

Arkadiy Skopenkov †

Abstract

The *r-fold* analogues of Whitney trick were 'in the air' since 1960s. However, only in this century they were stated, proved and applied to obtain interesting results, most notably by Mabillard and Wagner. Here we prove and apply a version of the r-fold Whitney trick when general position r-tuple intersections have positive dimension.

Theorem. *Assume that $D = D_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup D_r$ is disjoint union of k-dimensional disks, $rd \geq (r+1)k+3$, and $f : D \to B^d$ a proper PL (smooth) map such that $f\partial D_1 \cap \ldots \cap f\partial D_r = \emptyset$. If the map*

$$f^r : \partial(D_1 \times \ldots \times D_r) \to (B^d)^r - \{(x, x, \ldots, x) \in (B^d)^r \mid x \in B^d\}$$

extends to $D_1 \times \ldots \times D_r$, then there is a proper PL (smooth) map $\overline{f} : D \to B^d$ such that $\overline{f} = f$ on ∂D and $\overline{f}D_1 \cap \ldots \cap \overline{f}D_r = \emptyset$.

Contents

1 Introduction and main results **1**

2 Proof of the Metastable Local Disjunction Theorem 1.1 **5**

3 Proof of the Metastable Local Realization Theorem 1.2 **8**

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4 **9**

1 Introduction and main results

The *r-fold* analogues of Whitney trick were 'in the air' since 1960s. However, only in this century they were stated, proved and applied to obtain interesting results, most notably by Mabillard and Wagner [Me, proof of Theorem 1.1 in p. 7], [MW14, MW, AMSW, MW', MW16], [Sk16, §3.2]. Here we prove and apply a version of the r-fold Whitney trick when general position r-tuple intersections have positive dimension ('metastable' version). See Remark 1.6 for relations to the above papers and to *immersions without r-tuple points*.

We omit 'continuous' for maps. Denote CAT = DIFF or PL. For CAT = PL let $B^d := [0,1]^d$ denote the standard PL ball. For CAT = DIFF let $B^d := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \ : \ x_1^2 + \ldots + x_d^2 \leq 1\}$ denote the standard DIFF ball. We need to speak about balls of different dimensions and we

*Research supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research Grant No. 15-01-06302, by Simons-IUM Fellowship and by the D. Zimin's Dynasty Foundation Grant.

I would like to thank S. Avvakumov, R. Karasev, I. Mabillard, S. Melikhov and U. Wagner for helpful discussions.

†Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, and Independent University of Moscow. Email: skopenko@mccme.ru. http://www.mccme.ru/~skopenko

will use the word 'disk' for lower-dimensional objects and 'ball' for higher-dimensional ones in order to clarify the distinction (even though, formally, the disk D^d is the same as the ball B^d). Denote by ∂M, respectively $\mathring{M}$, the boundary, respectively the interior, of a manifold M. Let $S^{d-1} = \partial B^d$ be the standard sphere. A map $f\colon M \to B^d$ from a manifold with boundary to a ball is called **proper**, if $f^{-1}S^{d-1} = \partial M$. A PL map of a smooth manifold is a PL map of certain triangulation of this smooth manifold.

A **CAT $(n_1, \dots, n_r)$-Whitney map** is a proper CAT map $f : N \to B^d$ of disjoint union $N = N_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup N_r$ of r smooth compact manifolds, possibly with boundary,[1] of dimensions $n_1, \dots, n_r$ such that

$$(*) \qquad rd \geq (n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r) + n_i + 3 \quad \text{for each } i.$$

Denote

$$w := n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r - (r-1)d.$$

This is the dimension of general position r-tuple intersection $fN_1 \cap \dots \cap fN_r$. The inequality (*) is equivalent to $d \geq n_i + w + 3$ (which is more convenient to use for Theorem 1.1).

Let $B^{d\times r} := (B^d)^r$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d\times r} := (\mathbb{R}^d)^r$. Denote

$$\delta_r := \{(x, x, \dots, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times r} \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}.$$

For a CAT Whitney map $f : N \to B^d$ set

$$N^\times := N_1 \times \dots \times N_r$$

and define a map

$$f^r : N^\times \to B^{d\times r} \quad \text{by} \quad f^r(x_1, \dots, x_r) := (fx_1, \dots, fx_r).$$

Theorem 1.1 (Metastable Local Disjunction)**.** *Let $f : N \to B^d$ be a CAT $(n_1, \dots, n_r)$-Whitney map of disjoint union of $(w+1)$-connected stably parallelizable manifolds such that $f\partial N_1 \cap \dots \cap f\partial N_r = \emptyset$. There exists a CAT map $\overline{f} : N \to B^d$ such that*

$$\overline{f} = f \quad \text{on} \quad \partial N \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{f}N_1 \cap \dots \cap \overline{f}N_r = \emptyset$$

if and only if the map $f^r : \partial N^\times \to B^{d\times r} - \delta_r$ extends to $N^\times$.

We have $f^r\partial N^\times \cap \delta_r = \emptyset$ because $f\partial N_1 \cap \dots \cap f\partial N_r = \emptyset$ and f is proper.

The 'only if' part of Theorem 1.1 is clear because $\overline{f}^r$ is defined over $N^\times$ and is homotopic to f^r on $\partial N^\times$, so by Borsuk Homotopy Extension Theorem[2] f^r extends over $N^\times$.

Note that the 'if' part of Theorem 1.1 is trivial when $\partial N_i = \emptyset$ for some i or when $w < 0$.

Theorem 1.2 (Metastable Local Realization)**.** *Let $f : N \to B^d$ be a CAT $(n_1, \dots, n_r)$-Whitney map of stably parallelizable manifold such that $fN_1 \cap \dots \cap fN_r = \emptyset$, and $z \in \pi_n(B^{d\times r} - \delta_r)$, where $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r$. Then there is a CAT map $\overline{f} : N \to B^d$ such that*

$$\overline{f} = f \quad \text{on} \quad \partial N, \quad \overline{f}N_1 \cap \dots \cap \overline{f}N_r = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad [\overline{f}^r] = z\#[f^r] \in [N^\times; B^{d\times r} - \delta_r].$$

We have $f^rN^\times \cap \delta_r = \emptyset$ because $fN_1 \cap \dots \cap fN_r = \emptyset$, and analogously $\overline{f}^rN^\times \cap \delta_r = \emptyset$.

Note that Theorem 1.2 is trivial when $n < (r-1)d - 1$.

A map $f\colon K \to \mathbb{R}^d$ of a finite simplicial complex is an **almost r-embedding** if $f\sigma_1 \cap \dots \cap f\sigma_r = \emptyset$ whenever $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ are pairwise disjoint simplices of K.

[1] The most important particular case sufficient for Theorem 1.3 below is when each N_i is a disk. For the inductive proof of this particular case we need the above more general notion.

[2] This theorem states that if (K, L) is a polyhedral pair, $F : L \times I \to S^m$ is a homotopy and $g : K \to S^m$ is a map such that $g|_L = F|_{L\times 0}$, then F extends to a homotopy $G : K \times I \to S^m$ such that $g = G|_{K\times 0}$.



Figure 1: The sets E_0 and E_1 for $r = 2$.

Theorem 1.3 (Metastable Mabillard-Wagner Theorem)**.** *Assume that $rd \geq (r+1)k+3$ and K is a finite k-dimensional simplicial complex. There exists an almost r-embedding $f : K \to \mathbb{R}^d$ if and only if there exists a Σ_r-equivariant map*

$$K_\Delta^{\times r} := \bigcup\{\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_r \ : \sigma_i \text{ a simplex of } K,\ \sigma_i \cap \sigma_j = \emptyset \text{ for every } i \neq j\} \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times r} - \delta_r.$$

Theorem 1.3 implies the existence of a polynomial algorithm for checking almost embeddability (for fixed k, d, r such that $rd \geq (r+1)k+3$) [MW, Corollary 5]. Theorem 1.3 also reduces improvement of counterexamples to the topological Tverberg conjecture to an algebraic problem, cf. [Sk16, paragraph after Thaorem 1.2]

The 'only if' part of Theorem 1.3 is clear. The 'if' part is implied by the following result (for $E_0 = \emptyset$ and $E_1 = K_\Delta^{\times r}$). That result generalizes Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3. Its relative version allows classification of almost embeddings up to homotopy through almost embeddings, classification of ornaments, cf. [AMSW, §1.4], and polyhedral versions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, cf. [AMSW, Theorem 1.19].

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that $rd \geq (r+1)k+3$,*

- *K is a finite k-dimensional simplicial complex,*
- *$E_0 \subset E_1 \subset K_\Delta^{\times r}$ are Σ_r-equivariant cell subcomplexes of the cell complex K^r,*
- *$\Phi : E_1 \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times r} - \delta_r$ is a Σ_r-equivariant map,*
- *$f_0 : K \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a general position PL map such that $f_0^r E_0 \cap \delta_r = \emptyset$ and the restriction $f_0^r : E_0 \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times r} - \delta_r$ is homotopic to $\Phi|_{E_0}$.*

Then there is a general position PL homotopy $f_t : K \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

- *$f_t^r E_0 \cap \delta_r = \emptyset$ for each t and $f_1^r E_1 \cap \delta_r = \emptyset$,*
- *the 'composition' $\Phi|_{E_0} \simeq f_0^r \overset{f_t^r}{\simeq} f_1^r$ of Σ_r-equivariant homotopies $E_0 \times I \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times r} - \delta_r$ extends to a Σ_r-equivariant homotopy $E_1 \times I \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times r} - \delta_r$ from Φ to f_1^r.*

Remark 1.5. Denote by $S^{d(r-1)-1} = S^{d(r-1)-1}_{\Sigma_r}$ the set of real $d \times r$-matrices such that the sum in each line is zero, and the sum of squares of the matrix elements is 1. This set is homeomorphic to the sphere of dimension $d(r-1)-1$.

For $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ which are not all equal define

$$S := x_1 + \ldots + x_r, \quad \rho' := \left(x_1 - \frac{S}{r}, \ldots, x_r - \frac{S}{r}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho := \frac{\rho'}{|\rho'|}.$$

This defines a map $\rho = \rho_r : \mathbb{R}^{d\times r} - \delta_r \to S^{d(r-1)-1}_{\Sigma_r}$. Recall that this map is a Σ_r-equivariant homotopy equivalence. Analogously $B^{d\times r} - \delta_r \sim_{\Sigma_r} S^{d(r-1)-1}_{\Sigma_r}$.

Remark 1.6. (a) Proofs in this paper

• generalize proofs from [Me, proof of Theorem 1.1 in p. 7], [AMSW, §2.1], [Sk16, §3.5] for $r = 3$;

• do not generalize those proofs for $r \geq 4$, and do not generalize the proof from [MW] for any r (but still are closely related to all those proofs).

Passage from the case $(r-1)d = n$ of those papers to the case $rd \geq n+n_i+3$ considered here is non-trivial because here general position r-tuple intersections are no longer isolated points. This makes surgery of intersection more complicated, cf. (b). More importantly, this brings in 'extendability of f^r' obstruction, which is harder to work with than the 'sum of the signs of the global r-fold points' integer obstruction. Cf. [AMSW, Remark 1.6.c].

(b) Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 generalize a relaxed version of [MW', Lemma 10], cf. (c). Theorem 1.3 is [MW', Theorem 2]. The proof of [MW', MW16] is incomplete [Sk17] (in the sense described in [Sk17]).[3] In spite of this criticism I call Theorem 1.3 Metastable Mabillard-Wagner Theorem. This would hopefully allow to concentrate on mathematics (and on discussion of level of accuracy required to recognize a proof as complete), not on priority question.

Proofs in this paper and in [MW', MW16] are similar because they use and extend known methods. Among these methods are

• for Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 — Surgery of Intersection Lemma 2.2;[4]

• for Theorem 1.4 — 'engulfing to a ball' together with 'reduction to Local Disjunction and Realization Theorems' [We, §5].[5]

The new parts of our proofs are essential and different, except that I use 'engulfing to a ball' [MW', Lemma 8] in a corrected form.[6] In this paper the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are shorter because they are deduced by CAT approximation from their analogues for smooth framed immersions, see Propositions 2.1 and 3.1 below. This allows to use vector bundles (instead of block bundles [MW']) and Pontryagin-Thom correspondence (deleted products good, deleted joins better, Pontryagin-Thom correspondence best). I also avoid 'desuspension' part of the argument because the inductive step is, roughly speaking, passing from $fN_1, \dots, fN_r$ in B^d to $fN_1, \dots, fN_{r-2}, fN_{r-1} \cap fN_r$ in B^d (not to $fN_1 \cap fN_r, \dots, fN_{r-1} \cap fN_r$ in fN_r). The reduction of Theorem 1.3 to the 'engulfing to a ball' is shorter than [MW', §3] because I state Theorem 1.4 as a simple way to organize the induction, cf. [Sk02, the Disjunction Theorem 3.1].

(c) Our proof can perhaps be modified to show that in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 (and in Propositions 2.1, 3.1) when $d - n_i \geq 3$ and[7] $f|_{N_i}$ is an embedding for each i, we may obtain additionally that $\overline{f}|_{N_i}$ is an embedding isotopic to $f|_{N_i}$, relative to the boundary, for each i, cf. [MW, Theorem 10]. For Propositions 2.1 and 3.1 this is presumably a standard application of the corresponding 'injective' version of the Surgery of Intersection Lemma 2.2, together with the Haefliger-Zeeman Unknotting Theorems, cf. [We, §4, proof of Proposition 2 presented before Proposition 2], [Sk08, §8.4, a generalization of the Whitney trick] (the corresponding details should be present in [MW', §4.2, proof of Proposition 30] but they are not).

[3] Yet another problem appears in [MW', §4.5, Proof of Lemma 10, First Part]. The intersection $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_r$ is a manifold not a ball, so $\partial(\sigma_i \cap \sigma_r)$ is not a strong deformation retract of $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_r - \overset{\circ}{N}$. Thus the argument from the proof of Lemma 12 is not applicable for 'retracting from B^d to the ball N'. (Also 'proof of Theorem 2' is hard to find in the paper, and when a reader finds it in §3, he/she sees there no 'equation 4'. Presumably equation 4 'in the proof of Lemma 12' not 'in the proof of Theorem 2' is meant.)

[4] In [MW', MW16] this method was used without the references presented in the proof of Lemma 2.2 but with a reference to the 'predecessor' paper [Mi]; an interesting but not complete [Sk17] attempt to prove a PL analogue was made.

[5] For generalizations in other directions see [Sk00, Proposition 2.3], [Sk02, the Disjunction Theorem 3.1]; for a survey exposition see [Sk08, §8.4].

[6] The statement [MW', Lemma 8, (3)] meaning $\beta \cap fK = \beta \cap f(\sigma_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \sigma_r)$ is wrong. It should be replaced by $\beta \cap fK = \beta \cap f\,\mathrm{st}(\sigma_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \sigma_r)$ as in §4 below and as in [Sk08, p. 327, (d)].

[7] The codimension 3 assumption is automatic for Theorem 1.1 but not for Theorem 1.2: take $d = 2r-1 = n_i+2$.

(d) The connectedness and the stable parallelizability assumptions in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 can presumably be omitted (and the PL case is presumably true even for polyhedra not manifolds N_i). Such a more general version could presumably be proved using Theorem 1.4 (whose proof uses Theorems 1.1 and 1.2).

(e) The results of [Me, Theorem 7.1], [MW14, MW, AMSW, MW', MW16] and of this paper should be compared to studies of *immersions without r-tuple points*. E.g.

• a closed 2-manifold K admits an immersion to $\mathbb{R}^3$ without triple points if and only if $\chi(K)$ is even (folklore);

• any 3-manifold admits an immersion to $\mathbb{R}^4$ with a quadruple point [Fr].

(f) Any $k(r-1)$-manifolds admits an almost embedding to $\mathbb{R}^{kr}$ [MW].

Is it true that any contractible (or collapsible) $k(r-1)$-complex admit an almost embedding to $\mathbb{R}^{kr}$?

2 Proof of the Metastable Local Disjunction Theorem 1.1

A **frimmersion** is a proper smooth framed immersion $N_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup N_r \to B^d$ of disjoint union of smooth manifolds whose restrictions to the components are transverse to each other (but maybe not self-transverse). For a map $g : X \to B^d$ denote (the *self-intersection set* of g)

$$\Sigma(g) := \{x \in X \ : \ |g^{-1}gx| > 1\}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f : N \to B^d$ be an $(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$-Whitney frimmersion such that*

(2.1.1) $f\partial N_1 \cap \ldots \cap f\partial N_r = \emptyset$,

(2.1.2) the map $f^r : \partial N^\times \to B^{d\times r} - \delta_r$ extends to $N^\times$, and

(2.1.3) if $r \geq 3$, then every N_i is $(w+1)$-connected,

(2.1.4) $f|_{N_i}$ is self-transverse for each $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$ and $\Sigma(f|_{N_r})$ is the image of a self-transverse immersion of disjoint union of manifolds of dimensions at most $n_r - w - 3$.

Then there exists a frimmersion $\overline{f} : N \to B^d$ such that

$$\overline{f} = f \quad on \quad N_r \cup \partial N \quad and \quad \overline{f}N_1 \cap \ldots \cap \overline{f}N_r = \emptyset.$$

Proof of the 'if' part of Theorem 1.1 assuming Proposition 2.1. By the Hirsch-Smale-Gromov density principle [Gr, Proposition 1.2.2] and since every N_i is smooth stably parallelizable, there is a small CAT homotopy $F : N \times I \to B^d \times I$ from $F_0 := f$ to a smooth framed self-transverse immersion $F_1 : N \to B^d$. Since the homotopy is small, we have $F_t^r(\partial N) \cap \delta_r = \emptyset$ for each t. Since $F_0^r = f^r : \partial N^\times \to B^{d\times r} - \delta_r$ extends to $N^\times$, by the Borsuk Homotopy Extension Theorem (see footnote 2) it follows that F_1^r extends to $N^\times$. By transversality, $\Sigma(F_1|_{N_r})$ is the image of a self-transverse immersion of disjoint union of manifolds of dimensions at most $2n_r - d \leq n_r - w - 3$, which inequality follows from $d \geq n_r + w + 3$. Then applying Proposition 2.1 to F_1 we obtain a map $\overline{F_1} : N \to B^d$. Recall that $B^d \cong S^{d-1} \times I \cup B^d$. Then 'the union' of $F|_{\partial N \times I} : \partial N \times I \to S^{d-1} \times I$ and $\overline{F_1}$ is the required extension $\overline{f}$. □

Denote by pr_X the projection of a Cartesian product to the factor X. For a map $f : P \sqcup Q \to B^d$ denote (a 'resolution' of $fP \cap fQ$)

$$M(f) := \{(x, y) \in P \times Q \ : \ fx = fy\}.$$

Lemma 2.2 (Surgery of Intersection)**.** *Let P and Q be k-connected smooth p- and q-manifolds, possibly with boundary. Assume that $f : P \sqcup Q \to B^d$ is a frimmersion,*

• *$f|_P$ is self-transverse and $\Sigma(f|_Q)$ is the image of a self-transverse immersion of disjoint union of manifolds of dimensions at most $q - k - 2$, and*

• $k \le \min\{d-p-2, (p+q-d-2)/2\}$.

Then there is a frimmersion $f_1 : P \sqcup Q \to B^d$ such that

• *$f_1|_P$ is self-transverse and $\Sigma(f_1|_Q)$ is the image of a self-transverse immersion of disjoint union of manifolds of dimensions at most $q-k-2$,*

• *$f_1 = f$ on $\partial P \sqcup Q$, and*

• *$M(f_1)$ is a smooth k-connected $(p+q-d)$-manifold.*

Proof. By self-transversality of $f|_P$, $\Sigma(f|_P)$ is the image of an immersion of a manifold of dimension $2p-d$. This and the hypothesis on $\Sigma(f|_Q)$ imply that $\Sigma(f\,\mathrm{pr}_Q : M_f \to B^d)$ is the image of a self-transverse immersion of disjoint union of manifolds of dimensions at most

$$\max\{2p+q-2d, q-k-2+p-d\} \le p+q-d-k-1,$$

where the latter inequality follows from $k \le d-p-1$. This and $2k < p+q-d$ by general position[8] imply that for each $j \le k$ each map $S^j \to M_f$ is homotopic to an embedding $u : S^j \to M_f$ whose composition with $f\,\mathrm{pr}_Q$ is an embedding into B^d. Then the compositions $\mathrm{pr}_P u$ and $\mathrm{pr}_Q u$ are also embeddings. Since

$$k+1+(2p-d) < p, \quad k+1+(q-k-2) < q \quad \text{and} \quad 2k+2 \le p+q-d \le p, q,$$

by general position we may assume that these compositions extend to embeddings $u_P : D^{j+1} \to P$ and $u_Q : D^{j+1} \to Q$ whose compositions with f are embeddings into B^d. So the lemma is proved analogously to [HK, Theorem 4.5 and appendix A], [CRS, Theorem 4.7 and appendix], cf. [Ha], [Me, proof of Theorem 1.1 in p. 7] [AMSW, The Ambient Surgery Lemma 2.1]; for detailed descriptions of the above references see [AMSW, Remark 1.16.a].[9] □

Proof of Proposition 2.1: organization. We may assume that $w \ge 0$, otherwise the Proposition 2.1 holds by general position. (A reader may first read the proof for $r = 3$ and $w = 0$.)

The proof is by induction on r. We have $d \ge n_i + w + 3 \ge n_i + 3$. So the base $r = 2$ is a relative link map version of [Ha, We] which is proved analogously (for a PL version see [Sk00, Theorem 1.3]).[10] Let us prove the inductive step. Assume the hypothesis of Proposition 2.1 and that Proposition 2.1 is true for r replaced by $r-1 \ge 2$.

Proof of Proposition 2.1: surgery. We have

$$2w+3 = (w+n_{r-2}+3)+(w-n_{r-2}) \le d+n_r+n_{r-1}+(r-3)d-(r-1)d = n_r+n_{r-1}-d,$$

where the inequality holds because $n_i < d$, and so is strict for $r \ge 4$. Hence we can apply the Surgery of Intersection Lemma 2.2 to $P = N_{r-1}$, $Q = N_r$, $k = w+1$ for $r = 4$ and $k = w$ for $r = 3$. We obtain a map $f_1 : N \to B^d$ satisfying to the hypothesis of the proposition, for which $f = f_1$ on $N - \mathring{N}_{r-1}$, and $M(f_1|_{N_{r-1}\sqcup N_r})$ is $(w+1)$-connected for $r \ge 4$ and is w-connected for $r = 3$.

Take a homotopy f_t between $f = f_0$ and f_1. Then f_t^r is a homotopy between maps $f^r, f_1^r : \partial N^\times \to B^{d\times r} - \delta_r$. So by the Borsuk Homotopy Extension Theorem (see footnote 2) the map $f_1^r : \partial N^\times \to B^{d\times r} - \delta_r$ extends to $N^\times$.

[8] I.e. by the following result: *if M is an n-manifold, $u : S^j \to M$ a map, $2j < n$ and $X \subset M$ is the image of a self-transverse immersion of disjoint union of manifolds of dimensions at most $n-j-1$, then u homotopic to an embedding $S^j \to M$ whose image misses X.* Other general position results are used below without explicit statement.

[9] One needs the restriction $p+q-d \ge 2k+2$ not $p+q-d \ge 2k+1$ to use surgery below the middle dimension in the sense of [Mi]; the corresponding changes should be done in [HK], [CRS].

[10] In fact we do not need codimension 3 assumption for this result, cf. [Sk02, 2nd paragraph in p. 3]. Alternatively (and perhaps shorter) the case $r = 2$ can be proved by induction on d, the inductive step reducing the statement for (n_1, n_2, d) to the statement for $(n_1, n_2-1, d-1)$, cf. [RS, §5].

Thus we can rename $f := f_1$ and assume additionally that $M := M(f|_{N_{r-1}\sqcup N_r})$ is $(w+1)$-connected for $r \geq 4$ and is w-connected for $r = 3$.

Proof of Proposition 2.1: inductive step. Denote

$$N_- := N_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup N_{r-2}.$$

Define $g : N_- \sqcup M \to B^d$ to be f on N_- and to be $f \operatorname{pr}_{N_r}$ on M. If $M = \emptyset$, then the inductive step is clear. So assume that $M \neq \emptyset$.

Let us check that g is a frimmersion. Clearly, $g|_M$ is proper, so g is proper. Since the restrictions of f to the components are framed immersions transverse to each other, we obtain that M is a compact manifold, possibly with boundary, and $g|_M$ is a framed immersion transverse to $f|_{N_-}$. Thus the restrictions of g to the components are transverse to each other.

Let us check that g is an $(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$-Whitney frimmersion. By transversality $\dim M = n_r + n_{r-1} - d$. Hence $n_1 + \ldots + n_{r-2} + \dim M - (r-2)d = w$. We have $d \geq n_r + w + 3 \geq \dim M + w + 3$.

The property (2.1.1) for g holds because $\partial M \subset \partial N_{r-1} \times \partial N_r$.

The property (2.1.3) for g holds because M is $(w+1)$-connected for $r \geq 4$.

Let us check the property (2.1.4) for g. The self-transversality of $f|_{N_{r-1}}$, the transversality of $f|_{N_{r-1}}$ to $f|_{N_r}$, and the hypothesis on $\Sigma(f|_{N_r})$ imply that $\Sigma(g|_M)$ is the image of a self-transverse immersion of disjoint union of manifolds of dimensions at most

$$\max\{2n_{r-1} + n_r - 2d, n_r - w - 3 + n_{r-1} - d\} = (n_r + n_{r-1} - d) - w - 3,$$

where the latter equality follows from $d \geq n_r + w + 3$.

Checking the property (2.1.2) for g. We need to prove that for

$$N_-^\times := N_1 \times \ldots \times N_{r-2} \times M$$

there is a map

$$\Psi : N_-^\times \to B^{d\times(r-1)} - \delta_{r-1} \quad \text{extending} \quad g^{r-1} : \partial N_-^\times \to B^{d\times(r-1)} - \delta_{r-1}.$$

By (2.1.2) (for f) there is a general position homotopy

$$\Phi : N^\times \times I \to B^{d\times r} \operatorname{rel} \partial N^\times \quad \text{such that} \quad \Phi_0 = f^r \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{im} \Phi_1 \cap \delta_r = \emptyset.$$

Identify $B^{d\times(r-1)}$ with the subset of $B^{d\times r}$ consisting of r-tuples of vectors from B^d for which the $(r-1)$-th and r-th vectors are the same. Then as a submanifold δ_{r-1} is identified with δ_r, but we use different notation for them as framed submanifolds. The natural normal framing of δ_r in $B^{d\times r}$ is an extension of the natural normal framing of δ_{r-1} in $B^{d\times(r-1)}$ by the natural normal framing of $B^{d\times(r-1)}$ in $B^{d\times r}$.

Then $N_-^\times$ contains a framed submanifold $(f^r)^{-1}\delta_r$ of $N^\times$, which is the framed boundary of a framed submanifold $\Phi^{-1}\delta_r$ of $N^\times$. The framed submanifold $(g^{r-1})^{-1}\delta_{r-1}$ of $N_-^\times$ is obtained from $\partial\Phi^{-1}\delta_r = (f^r)^{-1}\delta_r$ by forgetting the part of its framing formed by normal framing of $N_-^\times$ in $N^\times$. The Pontryagin-Thom construction [Pr, §18.5] shows that for the existence of Ψ it suffices to prove that

$(g^{r-1})^{-1}\delta_{r-1}$ is the framed boundary of certain framed submanifold of $N_-^\times \times I$.

Since N_{r-1}, N_r are $(w+1)$-connected and M is w-connected, the following exact sequence of the pair $(N^\times, N_-^\times)$ shows that $(N^\times, N_-^\times)$ is $(w+1)$-connected:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\pi_{w+1}(N_-^\times) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{w+1}(N^\times) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{w+1}(N^\times, N_-^\times) & \longrightarrow & \pi_w(N_-^\times) & \longrightarrow & \pi_w(N^\times)\,. \\
\| & & \| & & & & \| & & \| \\
\pi_{w+1}(X) \oplus \pi_{w+1}(M) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\oplus 0} & \pi_{w+1}(X) & & & & \pi_w(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \pi_w(X)
\end{array}$$

Here $X := N_1 \times \ldots \times N_{r-2}$. Hence $(N^\times \times I, N_-^\times \times I)$ is $(w+1)$-connected.

By general position $\dim \Phi^{-1}\delta_r = w+1$. Thus the inclusion $\Phi^{-1}\delta_r \to N^\times \times I$ is homotopic, relative to the boundary, to a map whose image is in $N_-^\times \times I$. Since $r \geq 3$, we have

$$d(2r-3) \geq dr > (r-1)d + w + 1, \quad \text{so} \quad 2w+2 < (r-2)d + w + 1 = \dim(N_-^\times \times I).$$

Thus $\Phi^{-1}\delta_r$ is isotopic, and hence framed cobordant, relative to the boundary, to a framed submanifold of $N_-^\times \times I$. So we may assume that $\Phi^{-1}\delta_r \subset N_-^\times \times I$.

Recall that $(g^{r-1})^{-1}\delta_{r-1} = (f^r)^{-1}\delta_r = \partial\Phi^{-1}\delta_r$ as non-framed preimages. Since $w+1 < (r-2)d$, the kernel of the inclusion-induced map $\pi_j(SO_{(r-2)d}) \to \pi_j(SO_{(r-1)d})$ is trivial for each $j < w+1$. Hence the framing in $N_-^\times$ of the framed submanifold $(g^{r-1})^{-1}\delta_{r-1}$ extends by skeleta to a normal framing in $N_-^\times \times I$ of the non-framed submanifold $\Phi^{-1}\delta_r \subset N_-^\times \times I$, as required. □

Proof of Proposition 2.1: completion. Thus g satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 2.1 for r replaced by $r-1$. Applying the inductive hypothesis to g we obtain a frimmersion $\overline{g} : N_- \sqcup M \to B^d$ such that

$$g = \overline{g} \quad \text{on} \quad \partial N_- \cup M \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{g}N_1 \cap \ldots \cap \overline{g}N_{r-2} \cap \overline{g}M = \emptyset.$$

Define $\overline{f} : N \to B^d$ to be $\overline{g}$ on N_- and to be f on $N_{r-1} \sqcup N_r$. Then $\overline{f}$ is as required for the inductive step. □

3 Proof of the Metastable Local Realization Theorem 1.2

Proposition 3.1. *Let $z \in \pi_n(S^{d(r-1)-1})$ and $f : N \to B^d$ be an $(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$-Whitney frimmersion such that $fN_1 \cap \ldots \cap fN_r = \emptyset$. Then there exists a frimmersion $\overline{f} : N \to B^d$ such that*

$$\overline{f} = f \quad \text{on} \quad N_r \cup \partial N, \quad \overline{f}N_1 \cap \ldots \cap \overline{f}N_r = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad [\rho\overline{f}^r] = z\#[\rho f^r] \in [N^\times; S^{d(r-1)-1}].$$

Theorem 1.2 follows from Proposition 3.1 by Remark 1.5 and analogously to the proof of Theorem 1.1 assuming Proposition 2.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. We may assume that $n \geq (r-1)d-1$, otherwise Proposition 3.1 holds by general position. Then $d = rd - (r-1)d \geq n + n_i + 3 - n - 1 = n_i + 2$.

Analogously to the proof of Proposition 2.1 in §2 we may also assume the hypothesis of Proposition 3.1 and that Proposition 3.1 is true for r replaced by $r-1 \geq 2$. Define N_- and $g : N_- \sqcup M \to B^d$ as in that proof. Analogously to that proof we show that g satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 3.1 for r replaced by $r-1$.[11]

We have

$$d(2r-3) \geq dr > n+3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2d(r-2) - 3 \geq n - d.$$

Then the suspension $\Sigma^d : \pi_{n-d}(S^{d(r-2)-1}) \to \pi_n(S^{d(r-1)-1})$ is an epimorphism. So by the Freudenthal Suspension Theorem there is $u \in \pi_{n-d}(S^{d(r-2)-1})$ such that $\Sigma^d u = z$.

In this proof we distinguish ρ_r and ρ_{r-1}. Apply the inductive hypothesis to g, u. We obtain a map $\overline{g} : N_- \sqcup M$ such that

$$\overline{g} = g \quad \text{on} \quad \partial N_- \cup M, \quad \overline{g}N_1 \cap \ldots \cap \overline{g}N_{r-2} \cap \overline{g}M = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad [\rho_{r-1}\overline{g}^{r-1}] = u\#[\rho_{r-1}g^{r-1}].$$

Define $\overline{f} : N \to B^d$ to be $\overline{g}$ on N_- and to be f on $N_{r-1} \sqcup N_r$. It remains to prove that $[\rho_r\overline{f}^r] = z\#[\rho_r f^r]$. □

[11] We do not have to check the properties (2.1.1)-(2.1.4), so we do not have the 'surgery' part of that proof and do not use Surgery of Intersection Lemma 2.2.

Proof that $[\rho_r\overline{f}^r] = z\#[\rho_r f^r]$. For each manifold X and integer m identify $[X; S^m]$ with the set of codimension m framed submanifold of X by the Pontryagin-Thom 1–1 correspondence [Pr, §18.5]. In this proof we consider framed preimages and denote by $[\cdot]$ framed bordism classes (as well as homotopy classes). Denote

$$\lambda_d = (\lambda, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_r := (1_d, \underbrace{0_d, \dots, 0_d}_{r-1}) + \delta_r \subset \mathbb{R}^{d\times r}.$$

We may assume that points $\rho_r\alpha_r \in S^{d(r-1)-1}$ and $\rho_{r-1}\alpha_{r-1} \in S^{d(r-2)-1}$ are regular values of $\rho_r f^r$, $\rho_r\overline{f}^r$, and of $\rho_{r-1}g^{r-1}$, $\rho_{r-1}\overline{g}^{r-1}$, respectively. Take any framing of these point in $S^{d(r-1)-1}$ and in $S^{d(r-2)-1}$. Identify $B^{d\times(r-1)}$ with the subset of $B^{d\times r}$ consisting of r-tuples of vectors from B^d for which the $(r-1)$-th and r-th vectors are the same. Then as a submanifold α_{r-1} is identified with α_r, but we use different notation for them as framed submanifolds. The natural normal framing of α_r in $B^{d\times r}$ is an extension of the natural normal framing of α_{r-1} in $B^{d\times(r-1)}$ by the natural normal framing of $B^{d\times(r-1)}$ in $B^{d\times r}$.

We have

$$[\rho_r f^r] = [(f^r)^{-1}\alpha_r] \in [N^\times; S^{d(r-1)-1}].$$

Analogously

$$[\rho_{r-1}g^{r-1}] = [(g^{r-1})^{-1}\alpha_{r-1}] \in [N_-^\times; S^{d(r-2)-1}], \quad \text{where} \quad N_-^\times := N_1 \times \ldots \times N_{r-2} \times M.$$

Analogous assertions hold for f replaced by $\overline{f}$ or g by $\overline{g}$. Thus

$$[(\overline{g}^{r-1})^{-1}\alpha_{r-1}] = [\rho_{r-1}\overline{g}^{r-1}] = u\#[\rho_{r-1}g^{r-1}] = [U] + [(g^{r-1})^{-1}\alpha_{r-1}] \in [N_-^\times; S^{d(r-2)-1}]$$

for some framed submanifold U of $N_-^\times$ contained in an $(n-d)$-ball in $N_-^\times$. We have

$$[(f^r)^{-1}\alpha_r] = \Sigma[(g^{r-1})^{-1}\alpha_{r-1}] \in [N^\times; S^{d(r-1)-1}],$$

where Σ denotes adding to the framed submanifold of $N_-^\times$ the normal framing of $N_-^\times$ in $N^\times$. Analogous assertion holds for f, g replaced by $\overline{f}, \overline{g}$. Then

$$[\rho_r\overline{f}^r] = [(\overline{f}^r)^{-1}\alpha_r] = \Sigma[(\overline{g}^{r-1})^{-1}\alpha_{r-1}] = \Sigma[U] + \Sigma[(g^{r-1})^{-1}\alpha_{r-1}] =$$

$$= \Sigma[U] + [(f^r)^{-1}\alpha_r] = \Sigma^d u\#[\rho_r f^r] = z\#[\rho_r f^r] \in [N^\times; S^{d(r-1)-1}].$$

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Preliminaries and localization. It suffices to prove the theorem for $E_1 - E_0$ being the union of cells obtained by all permutations from the cell $\sigma^\times := \sigma_1 \times \ldots \times \sigma_r$. We assume this in the proof. Then $\partial\sigma^\times \subset E_0$. Abbreviate f_0 to f and 'Σ_r-equivariant homotopy' to 'homotopy'. Denote $n_i := \dim\sigma_i$ and $n = n_1 + \ldots + n_r$.

There is a PL d-ball $\beta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

- $D^{n_i} \cong N_i := \sigma_i \cap f^{-1}\beta \subset \mathring{\sigma}_i$ and $f|_{N_i}$ is proper;
- $f\sigma_1 \cap \ldots \cap f\sigma_r \subset \mathring{\beta}$;
- $\beta \cap fK = \beta \cap f\,\mathrm{st}(\sigma_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \sigma_r)$.

Construction of β is analogous to [We, §5], [MW', Lemmas 8] and references of footnote 5.

Local disjunction. Denote

$$N := N_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup N_r, \quad N^\times := N_1 \times \ldots \times N_r \quad \text{and} \quad A := \sigma^\times - \mathring{N}^\times.$$

Then $f^r(A)\cap\Delta_r=\emptyset$. By the PL Annulus Theorem $\partial\sigma_i$ is a strong deformation retract of $\sigma_i-\mathring{N}_i$. Hence $\partial\sigma^\times$ is a strong deformation retract of A. Then the restriction $f^r : E_0\cup A\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times r}-\delta_r$ is homotopic to $\Phi|_{E_0\cup A}$. So by the Equivariant Borsuk Homotopy Extension Theorem (cf. footnote 2) there is a homotopy $E_1\times I\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times r}-\delta_r$ from Φ to a map whose restriction to $E_0\cup A$ coincides with f^r. By the Equivariant Borsuk Homotopy Extension Theorem for the purpose of proving Theorem 1.4 we may assume that $\Phi=f^r$ on $E_0\cup A$.

Then the map $f^r : \partial N^\times\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times r}-\delta_r$ extends over $N^\times$ (to the map $\Phi|_{N^\times}$). This and $rd\geq(r+1)k+3$ imply that we can apply the Local Disjunction Theorem 1.1 (we need PL version for disks N_i) to $B^d=\beta$. We obtain an extension $\overline{f} : N\to\beta$ of $f|_{\partial N}$ such that $\overline{f}N_1\cap\ldots\cap\overline{f}N_r=\emptyset$.

Local realization. Join $f|_N$ and $\overline{f}$ by a homotopy f_t, $t\in[0,1/2]$, fixed on ∂N. Define a map

$$H_0 : (N^\times\times[0,1/2])\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times r}-\delta_r \quad\text{by}\quad H_0|_{N^\times\times 0}=\Phi,\ \ H_0|_{N^\times\times 1/2}=f^r_{1/2}\ \text{ and }\ H_0|_{\partial N^\times\times t}=f^r_t.$$



Figure 2: The map H_0 for $r=2$.

Denote by $z\in\pi_n(\mathbb{R}^{d\times r}-\delta_r)$ minus the homotopy class of H_0. Apply the PL Local Realization Theorem 1.2 (we need PL version for disks N_i) to $B^d=\beta$ and $f=f_{1/2}$. We obtain a map $f_1:=\overline{f}$ (not to be confused with the previous $\overline{f}$ which is $f_{1/2}$). Take any homotopy f_t, $t\in[1/2,1]$, between $f_{1/2}$ and f_1. Define H_{01} analogously to H_0 but replacing $1/2$ by 1 everywhere. Define a map

$$H_1 : \partial(N^\times\times[1/2,1])\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times r}-\delta_r \quad\text{by}\quad H_1(x,t):=f^r_t(x).$$

Then

$$[H_{01}]=[H_0]+[H_1]=-z+z=0\in\pi_n(\mathbb{R}^{d\times r}-\delta_r).$$

Hence the homotopy f^r_t from $\Phi|_{\partial N}=f^r_0|_{\partial N}$ to $f^r_1|_{\partial N}$ extends to a homotopy $N\times I\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times r}-\delta_r$ from $\Phi|_N$ to $f^r_1|_N$.

Completion of the proof. Take a regular neighborhood U in $f^{-1}\beta\subset\mathrm{st}(\sigma_1\sqcup\ldots\sqcup\sigma_r)$ of N relative to ∂N. Extend the homotopy f_t arbitrarily to a homotopy $U\times I\to\beta$. Extend the obtained homotopy identically to $K-U$. The obtained extension is as required. □

References

[AMSW] S. Avvakumov, I. Mabillard, A. Skopenkov and U. Wagner. Eliminating Higher-Multiplicity Intersections, III. Codimension 2, arXiv:1511.03501

[CRS] M. Cencelj, D. Repovš and M. Skopenkov, *Classification of knotted tori in the 2-metastable dimension,* Mat. Sbornik, 203:11 (2012), 1654-1681. Arxiv:math/0811.2745.

[Fr] M. Freedman, *Quadruple points of 3-manifolds in S^4*, Comment. Math. Helv. 53 (1978), 385-394.

[Gr] M. Gromov, Partial Differential Relations, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), Springer Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1986.

[Ha] A. Haefliger *Plongements differentiables dans le domain stable*, Comment. Math. Helv. 36 (1962-63), 155–176.

[HK] N. Habegger and U. Kaiser, *Link homotopy in 2–metastable range*, Topology 37:1 (1998) 75–94.

[Me] S. Melikhov, *Gauss type formulas for link map invariants*, unpublished, 2007.

[Mi] J. Milnor, *A procedure for killing homotopy groups of differentiable manifolds*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math, Vol. III (1961), 3955.

[MW] I. Mabillard and U. Wagner. *Eliminating Higher-Multiplicity Intersections, I. A Whitney Trick for Tverberg-Type Problems*, Arxiv: 1508.02349.

[MW'] I. Mabillard and U. Wagner. Eliminating Higher-Multiplicity Intersections, II. The Deleted Product Criterion in the r-Metastable Range, Arxiv: 1601.00876

[MW14] I. Mabillard and U. Wagner, *Eliminating Tverberg Points, I. An Analogue of the Whitney Trick*, Proceedings of the Thirtieth Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG'14), pp. 171-180, ACM, New York, 2014.

[MW16] I. Mabillard and U. Wagner. Eliminating Higher-Multiplicity Intersections, II. The Deleted Product Criterion in the r-Metastable Range, Proceedings of the 32nd Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG'16).

[Pr] V.V. Prasolov *Elements of Combinatorial and Differential Topology Theory.* GSM 74, Amer. Math. Soc. Publ., Providence, R.I., 2006.

[RS] C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, *Introduction to Piecewise-Linear Topology*, *Ergebn. der Math.* 69, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[Sk00] A. Skopenkov, *On the generalized Massey–Rolfsen invariant for link maps*, Fund. Math. 165 (2000), 1–15.

[Sk02] A. Skopenkov,*On the Haefliger-Hirsch-Wu invariants for embeddings and immersions,* Comment. Math. Helv. 77 (2002), 78–124.

[Sk08] A. Skopenkov, *Embedding and knotting of manifolds in Euclidean spaces*, in: Surveys in Contemporary Mathematics, Ed. N. Young and Y. Choi, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes, 347 (2008) 248–342. Arxiv: math/0604045.

[Sk16] A. Skopenkov, A user's guide to disproof of topological Tverberg Conjecture, Arxiv: 1605.05141.

[Sk17] A. Skopenkov, On the metastable Mabillard-Wagner conjecture, Arxiv: 1702.04259.

[We] C. Weber, Plongements de polyèdres dans le domain metastable, Comment. Math. Helv. 42 (1967), 1–27.